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This course explores key aspects of the history of energy in modern Eurasia. Tracing major historical, technological and political transformations from the late imperial to the Soviet and post-Soviet periods (1850 to the present), we consider different ways energy resources—oil, gas, and nuclear—and politics across Eurasia have been related. We also consider the global impacts of these relations. Readings will focus on the region of the former Soviet Union, including the Caucasus and Central Asia, and touch on topics such as: imperial power, industrialization, revolution, technology, utopia, Stalinism, the Cold War, the environment, health, nationalism, and current questions in Eurasian energy. Course counts toward the Energy and Sustainability minor.

**STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES**

By the end of the course students should be able to:

1) Read, think, and speak about historical topics critically.
2) Write and speak analytically about the past.
3) Understand how the history of energy in Eurasia connects and relates to broader themes in world history.
4) Explain some of the principle developments of the history of energy in Eurasia in political, environmental, and social terms.

**ASSIGNMENTS AND EVALUATION**

**Readings**

This is an upper-level honors history course. As such, it requires a substantial amount of reading. Your performance in the course—class participation and writing assignments—is dependent upon whether, and how well, you do this job.

**Class participation**

You should come to class each day having completed the readings and assignments, and prepared to make productive contributions to our discussions.

**Assignments**

*Reading responses*

You should come to the first class of each week with a brief (1 paragraph) response to the readings for the day. You are expected to write intelligently and thoughtfully, analyzing rather than describing what you have read.

*Profile assignment*

Together with a small group of your classmates, you will prepare a 10-15 minute PowerPoint presentation profiling one of the oil barons from the early years of the Caucasus oil booms. Groups will be assigned in class. See “Profile Assignment” sheet for further instructions.
Intelligence briefings
During our playing of “Twilight Struggle”—a game about the Cold War—you will produce three 2-page reports on a historical topic related to the history of energy in Eurasia during Cold War (and related to your interests in the game). See “Intelligence Briefings” assignment sheet for further instructions.

Book reviews
You will write two brief (2 page) book reviews, one on Loren Graham’s The Ghost of the Executed Engineer and one on Kate Brown’s Plutopia. See “Book Review” assignment sheet for further instructions.

Final project
Your final project will be a research project resulting in a 5-6 page paper and a 10-minute presentation during our final class meetings related to the project. See “Final Project” assignment sheet for further instructions.

Formatting for written work
All written work must be typed, double-spaced, 12-point Times New Roman font, with margins of 1-1.25.” You do not need a title page, but you do need a descriptive title (e.g., Reading Response, Week 4), the date and your name in the heading. Essays should be Chicago Style. Staple your own work.

Evaluation

Class Participation: 15%
Reading responses: 15%
Profile assignment: 10%
Intelligence briefings: 10%
Book reviews: 25%
Final Project: 25%

POLICIES

Late Submission of Work:
Late assignments will be assessed a penalty of one letter grade per day (e.g., from B+ to C+), unless prior arrangements with the instructor have been made.

Attendance:
This course is an intensive, short-term course. The material covered in each meeting cannot be made up. Therefore, more than one unexcused absence will negatively affect your grade. After three unexcused absences you will automatically fail the course.

Laptops, etc.
Please do not use laptops, tablets, or phones during class. You should come to class with books, printouts, and notebooks in hand. If this presents a hardship, let me know.

Plagiarism:
Suspected plagiarism will be reported to the Honors College hearing officer for appropriate sanctions. Familiarize yourself with UH’s academic honesty policy here:

**TEXTS**

To be purchased:


Svetlana Alexievich, *Voices from Chernobyl: The Oral History of a Nuclear Disaster* (Picador, 2006)

Available online:


Scott Borgerson, “The Coming Arctic Boom: As the Ice Melts, the Region Heats Up,” *Foreign Affairs*, 1 July 2013


On reserve in the library:


Dilip Hiro, *Inside Central Asia: A Political and Cultural History of Uzbekistan, Turkmenistan, Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Tajikistan, Turkey, and Iran* (Overlook Duckworth, 2009).
## SCHEDULE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Reading</th>
<th>Due</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>WEEK 1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Introduction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The Caucasus and Early Oil</td>
<td>King, pp. viii-19; LeVine, pp. 3-13; Martellaro, pp. 80-88</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WEEK 2</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The Caucasus</td>
<td>LeVine, pp. 14-27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oil Barons of Baku</td>
<td>[independent research]</td>
<td>Profile assignment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WEEK 3</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Russia’s Empire</td>
<td>King, pp. 20-63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nations and Revolutions</td>
<td>King, pp. 142-199</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WEEK 4</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The Sovietization of Azerbaijan</td>
<td>Brinegar</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Soviet Oil &amp; Gas</td>
<td>Perović, 1-27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WEEK 5</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Building Technocracy</td>
<td>Graham, pp. 1-49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Engineering an Empire</td>
<td>Graham, pp. 50-100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WEEK 6</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Race for the Bomb</td>
<td>Brown, 3-9</td>
<td>Book review: Graham</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Energy Society</td>
<td>Brown, 15-74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WEEK 7</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The Soviet Atom</td>
<td>Brown, 75-96</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stalinism and Sacrifice</td>
<td>Brown, 97-123, 141-49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WEEK 8</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cooking the Books</td>
<td>Brown, 165-84, 204-20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plutopia</td>
<td>Brown, 231-46, 282-96, 331-8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WEEK 9</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oil and the Cold War</td>
<td>Painter, 186-208</td>
<td>Book review: Brown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cold War: Twilight Struggle</td>
<td>[game prep]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WEEK 10</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Iranian Crisis, 1945-46</td>
<td>[independent research]</td>
<td>Intelligence brief</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Energy Crises, 1970s</td>
<td>[independent research]</td>
<td>Intelligence brief</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WEEK 11</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Collapse, 1989-1991</td>
<td>[independent research]</td>
<td>Intelligence brief</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ukraine, Belarus, Chernobyl</td>
<td>Alexievich, 1-32</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WEEK 12</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Legacies of Disaster</td>
<td>Alexievich, 55-67, 81-92, 114-122</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Siberia and the Arctic</td>
<td>Borgerson, 1-9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WEEK 13</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kazakhstan: Building an Oil State</td>
<td>Hiro, 234-280</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Post-Soviet Russia</td>
<td>Goldman, 55-92</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WEEK 14</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Final presentations</td>
<td></td>
<td>Final project</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PROFILE ASSIGNMENT

In the late nineteenth century, a few wealthy capitalists from around the world played central roles in the development of the modern oil industry. This was a period of dramatic change in economic development, technological innovation, and international trade. In Baku, the capital city of Azerbaijan and part of the Russian Empire, several of these changes converged to completely transform the region overnight. Several major “oil barons” turned their attention to Baku and the Caspian region, making it the world’s leading producer of oil by the beginning of the twentieth century.

Your assignment is to produce, together with your partners, a brief profile of one of these “oil barons.” You should conduct research independently before meeting with your partners to collaborate in producing a PowerPoint biography of the person. Your biography should:

1. Consist of between 10-15 slides (not including title and works cited)
2. Include at least six primary sources (three images and three written)
3. Include a works cited at the end listing primary sources and at least three secondary, academic sources (not counting the LeVine chapter). You may include online sources.
4. Focus on your baron’s biography as it relates to his involvement in the early years of the oil industry in Baku.

The barons we will examine are:

1. John D. Rockefeller
2. Robert and Ludvig Nobel
3. The Rothschild brothers
4. Zeynalabdin Taghiyev

You are required to meet with your partner(s) at least once to work on the project. PowerPoint presentations should be uploaded to the course Dropbox folder prior to class time on the day they are due.
INTELLIGENCE BRIEFS

During our playing of “Twilight Struggle,” you will produce three intelligence briefs on three separate topics related to the role of energy during the Cold War and related to the game. You will conduct research in order to produce each of these reports, which should help you and your team during the game. They should be addressed to the rest of your team, highlighting the strategic significance of your topic for winning the Cold War.

Topics will be assigned from game cards at the end of the class before they are due. Your reports should be submitted at the start of class on the day they are due, and should conform to the following rubric (2 pages total).

I. Your name and team
II. Topic or event
III. Historical summary (1-2 paragraphs)
IV. Important actors (1 paragraph each on at least 2 actors)
V. Strategic analysis (1 paragraph)
VI. Energy relevance (1 paragraph)
VII. List of sources consulted
BOOK REVIEWS

You will write two book reviews, one of Loren Graham’s *The Ghost of the Executed Engineer* and one on Kate Brown’s *Plutopia*. Each should be two to three pages long. You should not include a title page, footnotes, or anything other than a clean, well-organized, properly formatted, stapled, coherent essay. Anything that distracts from your writing is bad for you.

Your primary task in the book report is three-fold: 1) identify what you take to be the most significant argument(s) of the book (this must include a clear statement of the book’s thesis in your own words), 2) describe how the author makes his/her argument(s) (this should touch on specifics as well as encompass the structure of the book in its entirety), and 3) why the argument of the book matters (what’s at stake?). The task is relatively simple, which is not the same as saying it is easy to complete. In any case, a good report is impossible to write unless you have read and written about the book carefully and intelligently.

Use the following article as a guide for your reviews: Robert Blackey, “Words to the Whys: Crafting Critical Book Reviews,” *The History Teacher*, vol. 27, no. 2 (Feb., 1994), pp. 159-66.
FINAL PROJECT

Option 1: Legacies of the Past
Building upon our reading of Svetlana Alexievich’s Nobel Prize-winning *Voices from Chernobyl*, you should explore some aspect of how the history of the Chernobyl disaster continues to impact the world today in a research paper. Your consideration of the topic must be done *historically*, meaning that you need to pay special attention to how Chernobyl and its legacies can be understood in the broader context of the history of energy in Eurasia and the world.

You project should consist of analyzing one or more post-Chernobyl “artifacts,” which you will identify yourself. For example, you might consider analyzing any of the following: documentary films, technological, environmental, health or other scientific studies, news reports, popular culture, laws, charitable organizations, debates over nuclear power, interviews with survivors, museum curator, or others somehow related to the event.

Your analysis can take a number of forms, as long as I approve it in advance. You might, for example, produce a short film or video essay, contact and interview a relief worker, curate an online exhibition of photographs or artwork, write a paper based on research into a relevant topic, or produce a graphic novel. All projects must include a written component.

The paper should consist of 5-6 pages of text, not including a bibliography, and follow the *Chicago Manual of Style* format. Topics must be approved by me in advance.

All students will give a 10-minute presentation on their project during the final day of class.

Option 2: Cold Warriors
Building upon our playing of “Twilight Struggle,” you may expand upon the research you did for your intelligence brief and produce a research paper. This paper should be analytical, making a claim about your chosen topic and working to defend it using primary and secondary sources. The topic must be connected to one of the energy-related themes in the course, and might productively engage with one or more of the themes raised in other readings or class discussions.

The paper should consist of 5-6 pages of text, not including a bibliography, and follow the *Chicago Manual of Style* format. Topics must be approved by me in advance.

All students will give a 10-minute presentation on their project during the final day of class.